STATE OF NEVADA

for Contempt DECISION

show cause whw he should not be false charges or vilification. adjudged guilty of contempt for having, as an attorney of record in the argue the evidence and the law and matter of the application of Peter Kair freely indicate wherein he beneves this court a petition for rehearing in erroneous, but this he may do withstatement:

not know what they wrote about."

Respondent apeared in response to the citation, filed a brief and made an the Constitution and by general conextended address to the Court in sent have made the final interpreter which he took the position that the of the laws which ne, as an officer words in question were not contempt. of the court, has sworn to uphold lous; disavowed any intention to com- and wrotect. mit a contempt of court; and, further glord for its eso and asked that the and intentional misconduct o by siricaln from the neition.

In considering the foregoins statement it is proper to note that in the briefs filed by Respondent upon the as the as courts are old. It is also and turning to the court, said, in a hearing of the case in the first 'n stance, he used language of similar import which this court did not take cognizance of attributing its sae to over zealousness upon the part of counsel, but which was of such a ab. and member of the House of Come right or wrong." Russell v. Circuit ture that the Attorney General in his mons for sending a scandalous letter reply-orief referred to a as insinuate to one of the masters of the court ing that the Legislature in enacting and a committee from that body, after Am. St. 123, a brief restorting unon In me Cooper, 32 Vt. 262, the re-stated respondent because guilty of a and this court in sustaining the law were being "impelled or controlled by some mythical political influence or four which exists only in the nyrorestate indefination of censel

Also, the case and its condition at was used, should be taken into consideration. The proceeding, in which this petition was filed, had been brought to test the institutionality of a section of an Act of the Legislature limiting labor to eight nours perday in smelters and other ore reduction works, except in cases of emet-. gency where life or property is in ed the Governor's approval. At the time of filing the petition, respond no was aware that the court had now viously sustained the validity of the enactment as limiting the hours of Boyce, 27 Nev. 327, 75 P. L. 65 L. R. A. 47, and in mills for the reduction of ores, Re Kair 28 Nev. 80 P. 464. and that similar statutes had been upheld by the Supreme Court of Utah and the Supreme Court of the United States in the cases of State v. Holden. 14 Utah 71 and 86, 46 P. 757 and 1105. 27 A. R. A. 103 and 108; Holden v Hardy 169 U. S. 366, 18 Sup. Ct. 383 Short v. Mining Company, 10 Utah, 20 57 P. 720, 45 L. P. A., 603, and by the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri re Cantwell, 179 Mo. 245, 78 S. W. 569. It may not be out of place here, also to note that the latter case has since been affirmed by the S preme Court of the United States, and more recently the latter tribunal, adhering to its opinion therein and in the Utah cases, has refused to interfere with the decisions of this (to in re Kair.

it would seem therefore, a natural and proper if not a necessary deduction from the language in question. when taken in connection with the law of the cases as enunciated by this and other courts, that counsel finding that the opinion of the highest cours in the land was adverse instead of favorable to his contentions, in that it specifically affirmed the Utah derision in Holden vs. Hardy, which sustained the statute from which ours is conted, and that all the courts named were adverse to the views he advecated, had reserted to almse of the Justices of this and other courts, and to imputations of their motives

The language opoied is tantemount to the charge that this tributal and the Suoreme Courts of Utah, Missouri and of the United States and one Justices thereof who participated in the opinions upholding statutes limiting the hours of labor in mines smalters and other ere reduction works, were misguided by ignorant or base poli-

Leaf considerations.

Taking the most charitable view if counsel became so imbura and misguided by his own ideas and conclusions that he honestly and eroneously conceived that we were catrolled by ignorance or sinister motives instead of by law and justice in determining constitutional or other questions, and that these other courts and judges and the members of the legislature and Governor were guilty of the accusation he made occause they and we failed to follow the theories he advocated, and that his opinions ought to on weigh and turn the scale against the decisions of the four courts namincluding the highest in the land with nineteen justices concurring. neverthele... " was entirely inappropriate to make the statement in brief. If he really believed or knew of facts to sustain the charge he made he ought to have been aware that the purpose of such a document is to enlighten the court in regard to the centrolling facts and the law, and convince by argument, gad not to abuse and vilify, and that this court or determine charges impeaching its pointment, therefore, is great, and it Justices. On the otner hand if he made it with a cesire to mislead, intimidate or swerve from duty the the cause of the supposed wrong. A

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE his brief or argument is to assist the court in ascertaining the truth per faining to the pertinent facts, the rea In the matter of Alfred Chartz, Esq., offect of decisions and the law applicable in the case, and he far oversteps , the bounds of professional conduc-Respondent was commanded to when he reports to m.srepresentation

He may rully present, discuss and for a Writ of Habeas Corous filed in that decisions and rulings are wrong or which he made use of the following out effectually making bald accusations against the motives and intelli-"In my opinion, the decisions favor- gence of the court, or being discouring the power of the State to limit the teous or resorting to abuse which is hours of labor, on the ground of the not argument nor convincing to reapolice power of the State, are all soning minds. If respondent has no trong, and written by men who have respect for the justices, he ought to never performed manual labor, or by have enough regard for his position politicians and for politics. They to at the bar to refrain from attacting the tribunal of which he is a member, and which the people, through

ruese duties are so plain that any

The power of courts to punish for contempt and to maintain dignity in provided by statute. By analogy we knot tone and insulting manner note the adjudications and penalties imposed in a few of the many cases. But that the attorney was guilty of nound Lechmore Charlesn a barrister was her the decision of a court was an investigation, reported that in their the trial judge was stricken from the opinion his "claim to be discharged record in the Suprema Court, because from imprisonment by reason of privis it contained the following ladde of parliament ought not to be

the time the objectionable language in New York came up a second time desire to adjudicate all matters, points and with the same formal respect, otherwise han as tellering on the ine in the premises owned by Theodore commenced, the prisoner's counsel privately handed to the judge a letter paten and doctor up the cause of the couched in respectful language, in plain ifs, which perhaps, the care any alternative of him but the sub-, indirect or improperty between current which substantially that which they stated, substantially, that their ellent feared, from the circumcances of the former trial, that the indee had concerved a prejudice, against him, and that his mind was, said in the opinion: imminant danger, Stat. 1903, p. 32, that in the unbigsed condition neces-This Act had passed the Legislature sary to afford an impartial trial, and the judge of the court policy did not almost unanimously and had receiv- respectfully requested him to conside act from proper motives, but from a er whether he should not relinquish to of the parties or their counsel the duty of presiding at the trial to We see nothing to the record which some other judge, at the same time sung sis that such was the case. On outlaring that no personal disrespect was intended toward the judge of the scens to us to have been entire! labor in underground mines. Re court. The judge retained the letter and went on with the trial. At the, The brief, therefore contains a grounend of the trial e sentenced three of the writers to a and of \$250 each, and publically reprimanded the oth. This we regard as a knave breach of ers, the junior counsel, at the time ex- professional propriety. Every person pressing the opinion that if such a thing had been come by them in England, they would have been "expelled from the bar within one hour." The Surely such a course as was taken in (was deemed offensive and the court counsel at the time protested that intended no contempt of that duty. intended to express no disres said pect for the judge but that their acwhat they deemed . . . v. ... interests as willfully to employ langauge mani- gress and indelicate. conscientious discharge of the r duty superior court—a thing not to be an- 78 Cal - a petition for releaving The judge accepted the disclaimer of, ticipated—we shall deem it our daily stated that how or why the honorable and on which the contempt process. Douglas Co., road work 18 to personal disrespect, but refused to to treat such conduct as a contempt of commission should have so effectually believe the disclaimer of Intention to, this court, and to proceed according, and substantially ignored and disrecommit a contempt and enforced the ly; and the briefs of the case were garded the uncontradicted testimony, 26 Am. R. 752.

ruling you have made is directly con-filed in effect accusing the court of genious and misleading statement of trary to every principal of law, and an attempt to shield its receiver and the evidence could not well be made. is our desire that no such decision of charges of gross misconduct in of ranted. The decision seems to us to wous, the said language he specken shall stand unreversed in any cour. Hee and containing the statement that be a traversity of the evidence" that our of his notition. we practice in," an attorney was fine, "We must decline to assume the that counsel drafting the petition was 72. 26 Am., 747, Brewer J., said:

is very insulting. To say to a judge that more bribes resisting real

ney is under special obligations to be months. Judge erry, who had not main upon the seach and such only. Therefore I concur in the cauche Co. school fund Dist.I Spcl. 7290 20 considerate and respectful in his con-made any accusation against the would become the ministers of the Sion reached and in the order stated Co, school fund Dist. I library duct and communications to a judge court sought release and to be purg-He is an officer of the court and it is ed of the contempt by a sworn notite and contempt. But happily for the wift therefore his duty to uphold his honor ion in which he alleged that in the good order of society, men, an especand dignity. The independence of the transaction he did not have the slight bally the people of this country, are like he stricken from the fiber that profession carries with it the right estides of showing any disrespect to generally disposed to respect freely to challenge, criticise and con- the court. It was held that this could abide the decisions of the tribunals warned and that he pay the costs of view and in evidence. The wife this said: privilego goes the corresponding obligation of constant courtesy and respect toward the traumal in which the fact that the tribunal is an inferior sne, and its rulings not final and with the transgressor. No one would be garding and exciting disrespect for Of Hammond Indiana. out appeal does not aiminish in the slightest degree this obligation of courtesy and respect. A justice of the peace before whom the most trifling matter is being litigated is entitled to receive from every attorney in the case corteous and respectful treatment. A failure to extend this ecurtesy and respectful treatment is a failure of duty; and it may be sr gross a dereliction as to warrant the

contempt. It is so that in every case where a judge decides for one party., he decides against another; and oftimes both parties are before hand equally is not endowed with power to hear confident and sanguine. The disapis not in human nature that there did not believe the accusation and should be other than bitter feeling which often reaches to the judge as Court in its ucc. ion, the statement judge therefore ought to be patient would be the more censurable. So and tolerate everything that appears that taking either view, whether re- but the momentary outbreak of disspondent believed or disbelieved the appointment. A second thought will . einous charge he made, such lan- generally make a party ashamed of guade is anwarranted and contemp- such an outbreak. So an attorney would be at the mercy of the disortious. The duty , an attorney in sometimes, thinking it a mark of in-derly and violent, who respect neither

ependence, may become want to use ontemptuous, angry or insulting exressions at every adverse ruling unit become the court's clear duty ministering them." 128 U. S. 313. o check the habit by the severe lesbich the court punisnes may thereore seem to those knowing nothing of

We remark finally, that while from he very nature of things the power opinion we quote: of a court to punish for contempt is he made to the legislature for proproves himself unworthy of the power

and the court after hearing the reporter's notes read, decided that she had answered it, whoroupen one She has not answered the question and Couingham imprisoned Ed-contemnt regardless of the mestion Indus 47 Lows 102.

In Sears v. Starbard, 75 Cal. 91, 7 ins officers.

"The court out a a fullness of his admitted." 2 Milna and Craig, 217. I love for a cause, the pastes to it or When the case of People vs. Tweed their counsel, or from an overzealous, the court, as well as in this court, any explanation cannot be constraint. before the same indge, before the trial progressure and things, could not, with any dogree of propriety under the law tersness of their counsel has left in such a condition as to entitle them to no relief whatever"

In reference to this language it was,

The state of the s the contrary, e action complained of proper: See Sil v. Reese, 47 Cml, 240. less c arge against the purity of meon his admission to the bar takes an cath to faithfully discharge the dutles of an atterney and counceler" be can stand aside." This byneuage casp: is in Friedlander v. summer from examining the next witness. and that they felt and G a S M Co 61 cal 117. The court

'H' unfortunately counsel in and tion had been taken in furtherance of case shall ever so far forget Limit for divorce which was unnecessarily of a cir client and the faithful and festly disrespectful to the judge of the . In McCormics v. Shoridan, 50 P "4. fines. 11 Albany Law Journal 408, ordered to be stricken from the files," we do not know it seems that not

211 P. a.a. "Upon this we remark, in se first In re Terry, 36 Fed, 419 an extreme that a certain ruing which he has from the court room by the matshal. "If it was the general habit of the law and that everybody , now if is and using agustic language, one of discer of the decisions and independs such at least it has a ways agreeful certainly a most severe imputation the defendants was sent to poll f the courts, no man of self-respect to me. Yet it must sented to be We remark, secondly, that an after thirty days and the other for six and just pride of resurt in would to done demn all matters and things under re- not avail or relieve him and it was ordained by government as the core this proceeding.

complish the natural result of on's tion of the better instincts of human acts, and, when those acts are of a nature, and discegardful of law and proceedings are pending. And the criminal nature, it will not accept order, wontanty attempt to obstruct against such implication the devial of the course of public justice by disre- Of The Continental Casualty Company safe if a denial or a wrongful or crimit the decisions of its trianna a every General office, Chicago, Hills. pal intent would suffice to realese the good citizen will point them out as Capital (paid up) \$ 300,000 to his offenses.

In an application for a writ of hobeas corous growing out of that case. preme court of the United States spid;

"We have seen that it is a settled England and of this country, never exercise of the power to punish for suposed to be in conflict with the liberty of the citizens, that for direct contempt committed in the face of the court, at least one of superior jurisdiction, the offender may in its and immediately imprisoned, without trial or issue, and without other proof than its actual knowledge of what occurred; and that according to an unback to the earliest times, such powsential to the protection of the the files. courts in the discharge of their functions. Without it udcital tribunals

the laws enacted for the vindication these tribunals of just as or the supof public and private rights, nor the port and preservation of their respecofficers charged when the duty of ad- tability and independence; it has ex-

In re Worley 11 ky. 95, it was held the annals of juris rudeare entend, on of a punishment for contempt, that to incorporate into a pention for and, except in a lew cases of party vio The single insulting expression for rehearing the statement that "Your lence, it has been sanctioned and es-Lonors have rendered an unjust de- tablished by the experience of ages. cree," and other insulting matter, is Lord Mayor of London's case, 3 Wilhe prior conduct of the attorney, and to commit in open court an act con-son, 188; epinion of Kent C. J. in looking only at the single remark, a stituting a contempt on the part of the the case of Yates, 4 Johns. 217; John patter which might well be unnotice actorney; and hat where the lan-son v. The Commonwealth 1 Bibb 598. ed; and yet if all the conduct of the guage snoken or written is of itself. At page 206 of Weeks on Attorneys. attorney was known, the duty of in- necessarily offensive, the disavowal of 2d edition it is said: erference and punis ment might be an intention to commit a contempt | "Language may be contemptuous, may tend to excuse but cannot justify whether written or spoken; and if in the act. From a paragraph in that the presence of the court, notice is

a vast power, and one which, in the the practice of his profession by the petition for rehearing is equivalent hands of a corrupt or unworthy judge | manner in which he conducts himself to the commission in open court of an may be used tyrannically and unjust- in his intersourse with the courts. He act constituting a contempt. When charge and make all arrangements, ly, yet protection to individuals lies may be honest and capable, and yet the language is capable of explanathe publicity of all judicial pro- he may so conduct himself as to centin- tion, and is explained, the proceedings cee. ngs, and the appeal which may ually interrupt the business of the must be discontinued; but where is courts in which he practices; or he is offensive and insulting per se the proceedings against any judge who may by a systematic and continuous disavowal of an intention to commit course of conduct, render it impossi- a contempt may tend to excuse, but ble for the courts to preserve their cannot justify the act. From an open Where a contention arose between self-respect and the respect of the notorious and public insult to a court that if the langauge was by the court departure from them by a member counsel as to whether a witness had public and at the same time permit for which an attorney contumacionsly second to be blectionable, he apole of the bar would seem to be willful no already answered a certain quest him to act as an officer and attorney, refused in any way to atope, he was An attorney who thus studiously and fined for contempt, and his authority systematically attempts to bring the to practice revoked. tribunals of justice into public con- Other authorities in line with these their proceedings is inherent and is of the attorneys sprang to his first tempt is an unfit person to hold the we have mentioned are ofted in the position and exercise the privileges of note to re Cary, to Fed 63, and in price of disc records teither Victor an officer of those tribunals. An open 9 Cvc v. 20, where it is said that or Columbial, to take effect immeneturious and public instal to the contempt may be committed by its distrely, will be as follows until tues highest indical tribunal of the State seeting in pleadings, briefs mothers for which an attorney continuar outly arguments, petitions for mill are a mill refuses in any way to atone, may may other mapers filed in cours manufact tify the relies of that tellumal to be computed as language, reflecting recognize him in the future as one of on the integrity of the court,

> spendent was fixed for ironically stat. conferent which no construction ing to a justice of the peace. If think the wards can excuse as jurger Histhis magistrate wiser than the Su-disclaimer of an in milion-

mission to what we no doubt regards decision. as a misapprohonsion of the law both he we have soon correspond too. condition very similar to many what reheasible, but in slew of the ities, Tainer

In Mahoney v. State, 72 N. E. 151. an afterney was fixed \$50 for saving against the missaulter of off maces the interests of any then; or no. and realing other insolent statements. In Redman v State 's Ind., the highest informed counsel that a question was "If we exame examine our witnesses

In Brown v. Brown IV Ind. 72, the law-or was rayed with the goat of the action for filing and reading a netition

In U. S. v. Late Corporation of ther the transcript nor our briefs to the order of the court to show For sending to a d strict judge con Church of Jesus Chart of Later Day could have fallen under the commiscourt a letter stacing that "The Sauce, language used in the petition sioners observation. A more disinternative of serving in jail.

said: mon arbiters of their rights. But "The law imputes an intent to ac | where isolated individuals, in viola-

an enlightened and conservative bar. Justice Harlan, speaking for the Su- governed by a high sense of profes- Premiums 2,129,749 c sional ethics and deenly sensible, as Other sources they always are, of its necessity to Total income, 1905 2,169,226 ab doctrine in the jurisprudence both of aid in the maintenance of public respect for its opinions."

In Somers v. Torrey, 5 Paige Ch. 64 Dividends 28 Am. D. 411, it was held that the attorneyw ho put his hand to scandalous Total expenditures, 1905 2,123,536 45 and impertinent matter stood against the complainant and one not a party Risks written discretion, be instantly apprehended to the suit is liable to the censure of Premiums 2.633 875 23 the court and chargeable with the Losses incurred 1,009,644 S1 cost of the proceedings to have it expunged from the record.

In State v. Graithe, 1 La. Am. 183, Premiums received broken chain of authorices reaching the court held that it could not con- Losses paid sistently with its duty receive a brief Losses incurred er, although arbitrary in its nature expressed in disrespectful language. and liable to abuse, is absolutely es- and ordered the clerk to take it from

"This great porer is entrusted not February.

isted from the eachest uprior to which

not essential before punishment, and "An attorney may unfit himself for scandalous and insulting matter in a

By using in obtacts and anguero prente cour . Redueld, C. J., said : " prove to the count was in The but "The counsel must submit in a lust cannot fustify a charge which im to however difficult is may be either betitence and matters of the court, here or there," and which could scarcely have been We do not see that the relator has imade for any other number on these to

on the part of the fustice and of this been severely punished for motor tone OFFICE COUNTY AUDITOR And in that respect he is in a gauge in many instances not so nonhave failed to convince others of the vowel in open court we have concludsoundness of their own views, or to ed not to impose a penalty so barsh

want to see whether the court is litteauts ought not to be annished or right or not 1 can't have whether prevented from maintaining in the I am going to be heard in this case in case all petitions, pleadings, and post lialance in County Treasury at pers essential to the preservation and enforcement of their rights.

It is proposed that the offensive notitten he stricken from the files stept warmed, and that he pay the costs of Fees of Co. officers521 05 this proceeding.

In this matter my concurrence is

special and to ans extent: The respondent massever in responsecause why he should not be nunished. therefor, appeared and disclaimed April 1st, 06, Balance cash on any intension to be dispenseeoful or hand\$31277 17%,

\$50 and suspended from practice until functions of a grand jury, or attempt guilty of contempt committee in the said that he had no intention to be Co, school fund Dist. 1 10158 4835 the amount shown be paid. In de- to perform the duty of the court in face of the court netwithstanding a librespectful or contemptuous by he livering the opinion of the Supreme investigating the conduct of its official disayowal of disrespectful intention. Court of Kansas in Re rrior, 18 Kan, cers, "was held to be contemptuous. A fine of \$200 was imposed with an athe admitted naving used was not dis- to, school fund Diet, * 212 77 The Chief Justice speaking for the respectful or contemptuous. In the State school fund Dist. 1 ... 3839 85 place that the language of this letter case, for charging the court with have court in State v. Morrill, 16 Ark, 210 last contention, I think between plain-

and respondent stand continued and Co. school fund Dist. 4 Harary Fitzgernin et 1

ANNUAL STATEMENT

Liabilities, exclusive of capi-A court must naturally look first to tal and net surplus .. 1,157,641 to Co school fund Dist. 3 19 85 Income 20.476 72 Expenditures Losses 993,904 N 16,500 06

> Business 1905 Nevada Business none

Risks written 20 025 56 8,544 50 8,634 55 A. A. SMITH, Secretary. ---

The Sierra Nevada mining company Referring to the rights of courts to received \$2,722.67 from leasers operpunish for contempt, Blackford, J., in ating on Cedar Hill during the menth

SPECIAL EXCURSION FROM SAM FRANCISCO TO CITY OF MEXICO AND RETURN. DECEMBER 16th. 1905.

A select party is being organized LY the Southern Pacific to leave San Francisco for Mexico City, December 16th, 1905, Train will contain fine vestibule sleepers and dining car, all the way on going trip. Time limit will be sixty days, enabling excursionists to make side trips from City of Mexico to points of interest. On return trip, stopovers will be allowed at points on the main lines of Mexican Central, Santa Fe or Southern Pacific. An excursion manager will be in

Round trip rate from San Francisco

Pullman berth rate to City of Mexico, \$12.00.

For further information address tu-.formation Bureau, 513 Market street. San Francisco Cal.

> OVO Liberal Offer.

I bog to advise my patrons that the

Ten inch disks formerly 70 cears / will be said for 60 cents.

Seven inch records formerly 50c. now 35c. Take advantage of this of-C. W. FRIEND.

Notice to Huntetrs.

Notice is hereby given that any ers in found housing without a permit the court. Winters, will be prosecuted. A Haited number of permits vill be sold

To the Honorable, the Board of Cous ty Commissioners, Gentlemen:

In compliance with the law, I became convinced themselves a fiber as disburment or suspension from port showing to provide or fine or imprisonment in ments of Ormsby County, during her will sum my quarterly rethe quarter ending Dec. 30, 1995,

Quarterly Report.

Ormsby County, Nevada.

Fines in Justice Court 125 00 Rour of Co. binfiding Shot machine houses282 00 S. A. annortionment school

4-213 5936 Recapitulation

The duty of courts in matters of State school fund Dist. 3 ... 433 76

Agl. Assa, fund Spel. 1929 54 PROPERTY AND PERSONS ASSESSED FOR A \$100. "It is ordered that the effensive not. Co school fund Dist, 3 abrary

CONTRACTOR 331.77 IT%

" II VA NEFFEN . comey Treasurer. Disbursements

Co. school (and Dist. 4 122 00 State school fund Dist 1 ... 2611 65 State school fund Dist 2 70 00

State school fund Dist 3 120 00 State school fund Dist 4110 00 Co. school fund Spcl building

Total 16936 42 Recapitulation Cash in Treasury January 1, 199639108 77%

Receipts from January 1st to March 51st 1906 9164 81% Disbursements from January 1st to March 31st 1906...... 16936 42

Balance cash in Co. Treasury April 1st 190631277 1736 H. DIETERICH

County Auditor